

BUSINESS PROCESS RE-ENGINEERING EVALUATION SCORE FOR THE FINANCIAL YEAR 2022/2023

S/No	ORGANIZATION	Processes Re-Engineered	Implement-ation Report	Submission of BPR Report	Score for the Financial Year 2022/2023	Remarks
		60%	35%	5%		
MINISTRIES						
1.	Ministry of Agriculture and Livestock Development	0	0	0	0	No report submitted
2.	Attorney General and Department of Justice	60	5	5	70	Lack of clarity on implementation
3.	Ministry of Defence	9	5	5	19	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Lack of understanding of BPR Inaccurate process maps Poor evidence of implementation
4.	Ministry of Education	25	5	5	35	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Incorrect mapping No clear evidence of implementation Process flow not well done
5.	Ministry of Energy and Petroleum	35	15	5	55	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Identified only one service process
6.	Ministry of Environment, Climate Change and Forestry	0	0	0	0	Report not submitted
7.	The National Treasury and Economic Planning	60	35	5	100	Demonstrated understanding and implementation of BPR
8.	Ministry of Foreign and Diaspora Affairs	60	35	5	100	Demonstrated understanding and implementation of BPR

S/No	ORGANIZATION	Processes Re-Engineered	Implement-ation Report	Submission of BPR Report	Score for the Financial Year 2022/2023	Remarks
		60%	35%	5%		
9.	Ministry of Health	0	0	0	0	Report not submitted
10.	Ministry of Information, Communication and Digital Economy	2	0	5	7	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lack of understanding of BPR • No demonstration of having undertaken BPR
11.	Ministry of Interior and National Administration	50	5	5	60	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Process maps not detailed
12.	Ministry of Lands, Housing and Urban Development and Public works	0	0	0	0	Report not submitted
13.	Ministry of Mining, Fisheries and the Blue Economy	15	0	5	20	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lack of understanding of BPR • Inaccurate process maps
14.	Ministry of East African Community (EAC), The ASALs and Regional Development	45	5	5	55	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Evidence of implementation not adequate
15.	Ministry of Public Service, Gender and Affirmative Action	45	18	5	68	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Only one process re-engineered • Second process incomplete • Evidence of Implementation not adequate
16.	Ministry of Roads and Transport	0	0	0	0	Report not submitted

S/No	ORGANIZATION	Processes Re-Engineered	Implementation Report	Submission of BPR Report	Score for the Financial Year 2022/2023	Remarks
		60%	35%	5%		
17.	State House	28	0	5	33	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Only one process briefly mapped No evidence of implementation
18.	Ministry of Tourism, Wildlife and Heritage	0	0	0	0	Report not submitted
19.	Ministry of Investment, Trade and Industry	60	15	5	80	Evidence of Implementation not adequate
20.	Ministry of Labour and Social Protection	7	0	5	12	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Lack of understanding of BPR Inaccurate process maps No evidence of implementation
21.	Ministry of Water, Sanitation and Irrigation	47	0	5	52	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> One process not re-engineered No evidence of implementation
STATE CORPORATIONS						
22.	Agricultural Development Corporation	0	0	0	0	Report not submitted
23.	Agricultural Finance Corporation	50	20	5	75	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> To-be process for one service not done Evidence of implementation not adequate
24.	Agriculture and Food Authority	60	0	5	65	No evidence of implementation
25.	Agro-Chemical & Food Company Limited	0	0	0	0	Report not submitted

S/No	ORGANIZATION	Processes Re-Engineered	Implementation Report	Submission of BPR Report	Score for the Financial Year 2022/2023	Remarks
		60%	35%	5%		
26.	Alupe University	17	0	5	22	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Limited understanding of BPR Evidence of implementation not adequate
27.	Anti FGM Board	12	0	5	17	Lack of understanding of BPR
28.	Anti-Counterfeit Authority	30	30	5	65	One process not properly mapped
29.	Anti-Doping Agency of Kenya	60	30	5	95	Implementation done with exception of a few areas
30.	Assets Recovery Agency	60	15	5	80	Lack of clarity on the level of implementation
31.	Athi Water Works Development Agency	60	35	5	100	Demonstrated understanding and Implementation of BPR
32.	Bandari Maritime Academy	30	10	5	45	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Lack of understanding of BPR Evidence of implementation not adequate
33.	Biosafety Appeals Board	17	0	5	22	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Lack of understanding of the BPR process No evidence of Implementation
34.	Bukura Agricultural College	60	35	5	100	Demonstrated understanding and implementation of BPR

S/No	ORGANIZATION	Processes Re-Engineered	Implement-ation Report	Submission of BPR Report	Score for the Financial Year 2022/2023	Remarks
		60%	35%	5%		
35.	Kenya BIOVAX Institute Limited	0	0	0	0	Report not submitted
36.	Business Registration Service	60	0	5	65	No evidence of Implementation
37.	Capital Markets Authority	60	25	5	90	Implementation of the reengineered processes yet to be done
38.	Central Rift Valley Water Works Development Agency	0	0	0	0	Report not submitted
39.	Centre for Mathematics, Science and Technology In Africa	60	15	5	80	Lack of clarity on the implementation
40.	Chemelil Sugar (CSC) Ltd.	0	0	0	0	Wrong report submitted
41.	Chuka University	4	0	3	7	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lack of understanding of BPR • No clear identification, mapping and implementation of process • Report not as per prescribed template.
42.	Coast Development Authority	60	5	5	70	Implementation process not demonstrated well

S/No	ORGANIZATION	Processes Re-Engineered	Implement-ation Report	Submission of BPR Report	Score for the Financial Year 2022/2023	Remarks
		60%	35%	5%		
43.	Coast Water Works Development Agency	0	0	0	0	Report not submitted
44.	Commission for University Education	12	0	5	25	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lack of understanding of BPR • As-is and to-be maps not properly done • No evidence of implementation process
45.	Commodities Fund	15	10	5	30	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Inaccurate/wrong BPR process maps • Evidence of implementation not adequate
46.	Communications Authority of Kenya	45	10	5	60	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lack of clarity of the implementation • Lack of evidence to demonstrate how To-Be Process has improved Service Delivery.
47.	Competition Authority of Kenya	6	0	5	11	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lack of understanding of BPR • Inaccurate process mapping
48.	Co-operative University of Kenya	60	35	5	100	Demonstrated understanding and implementation of BPR
49.	Council for Legal Education	45	10	5	60	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • To-Be Process not well defined • Lack of clarity of the implementation

S/No	ORGANIZATION	Processes Re-Engineered	Implement-ation Report	Submission of BPR Report	Score for the Financial Year 2022/2023	Remarks
		60%	35%	5%		
50.	Dedan Kimathi University Of Technology	5	0	5	10	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lack of Understanding of the BPR process • No evidence of implementation
51.	East African Portland Cement Company Limited	6	0	5	11	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lack of understanding of BPR • Not evidence of implementation
52.	Egerton University	0	0	0	0	Report not submitted
53.	Energy and Petroleum Regulatory Authority	60	10	5	75	Re-engineered processes not fully implemented
54.	Engineers Board of Kenya	60	10	5	75	Re-engineered processes not fully implemented
55.	Ewaso Ng'iro North River Basin Development Authority	0	0	0	0	Report not submitted
56.	Ewaso Ng'iro South Development Authority	43	20	5	68	Mapping process lacks specific timelines Evidence of implementation not adequate
57.	Export Processing Zones Authority	0	0	0	0	Wrong report submitted
58.	Fish Levy Trust Fund	45	5	5	55	Evidence of implementation not adequate

S/No	ORGANIZATION	Processes Re-Engineered	Implement-ation Report	Submission of BPR Report	Score for the Financial Year 2022/2023	Remarks
		60%	35%	5%		
59.	Garissa University	20	0	5	25	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lack of understanding of the BPR • There is no proper mapping of identified services • No demonstration of To-Be-Process • No evidence of implementation
60.	Geothermal Development Company Limited	38	20	5	63	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lumping of activity steps in the As-Is process map • To-Be process not mapped. • Evidence of implementation not adequate
61.	Higher Education Loans Board	0	0	0	0	Report not submitted
62.	Human Resource Management Professionals Examination Board	0	0	0	0	Report not submitted
63.	Hydrologists Registration Board	0	0	0	0	Report not submitted
64.	Information and Communications Technology Authority	0	0	0	0	Report not submitted
65.	Insurance Regulatory Authority	60	35	5	100	Demonstrated understanding and implementation of BPR

S/No	ORGANIZATION	Processes Re-Engineered	Implementation Report	Submission of BPR Report	Score for the Financial Year 2022/2023	Remarks
		60%	35%	5%		
66.	Jaramogi Oginga Odinga University of Science and Technology	0	0	0	0	Report not submitted
67.	Jomo Kenyatta Foundation	0	0	0	0	Report not submitted
68.	Jomo Kenyatta University of Agriculture and Technology	57	30	5	92	One of the Processes was not comprehensively mapped
69.	Kaimosi Friends University	41	0	5	46	No evidence of implementation of re-engineered processes
70.	Kenya Academy of Sports	0	0	0	0	Report not submitted
71.	Kenya Accountants Secretaries National Examinations Board	33	20	5	58	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Inadequate understanding of BPR Inaccurate process maps
72.	Kenya Agricultural and Livestock Research Organization	20	15	5	40	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Re-engineering undertaken in only one process Report did not conform to the PC guidelines
73.	Kenya Airports Authority	0	0	0	0	Report not submitted
74.	Kenya Animal Genetic Resource Centre	0	0	0	0	Report not submitted

S/No	ORGANIZATION	Processes Re-Engineered	Implementation Report	Submission of BPR Report	Score for the Financial Year 2022/2023	Remarks
		60%	35%	5%		
75.	Kenya Broadcasting Corporation	2	2	1	5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Lack of understanding of BPR. No clear identification, mapping and implementation of process Report not in the prescribed format No evidence of reengineering
76.	Kenya Bureau of Standards	50	25	5	75	Mapping process is not clearly articulated, especially for process 2
77.	Kenya Civil Aviation Authority	60	35	5	100	Demonstrated understanding and implementation of BPR
78.	Kenya Copyright Board	30	10	5	45	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Lack of understanding of BPR Evidence of implementation not adequate
79.	Kenya Cultural Centre	0	0	0	0	Report not submitted
80.	Kenya Dairy Board	0	0	0	0	Report not submitted
81.	Kenya Deposit Insurance Corporation	60	35	5	100	Demonstrated understanding and implementation of BPR
82.	Kenya Development Corporation	0	0	0	0	Report not submitted
83.	Kenya Education Management Institute	7	0	5	12	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Lack of understanding of BPR No evidence of implementation
84.	Kenya Electricity Generating Company Ltd	0	0	0	0	Report not submitted

S/No	ORGANIZATION	Processes Re-Engineered	Implement-ation Report	Submission of BPR Report	Score for the Financial Year 2022/2023	Remarks
		60%	35%	5%		
85.	Kenya Electricity Transmission Company	45	0	5	50	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Only one process identified • No evidence of implementation •
86.	Kenya Export Promotion and Branding Agency	0	0	0	0	Report not submitted
87.	Kenya Film Classification Board	0	0	0	0	Submitted wrong report
88.	Kenya Film Commission	60	30	5	95	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Demonstrated understanding and implementation of BPR process • Implementation not fully done
89.	Kenya Fish Marketing Authority	0	0	0	0	Report not submitted
90.	Kenya Fisheries Service	0	0	0	0	Report not submitted
91.	Kenya Fishing Industries Corporation	0	0	0	0	Report not submitted
92.	Kenya Forest Service	0	0	0	0	Report not submitted
93.	Kenya Forestry Research Institute	0	0	0	0	Report not submitted
94.	Kenya Industrial Estates Limited	30	10	5	45	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mapped one process against the guidelines of two. • Lack of clarity in implementation

S/No	ORGANIZATION	Processes Re-Engineered	Implement-ation Report	Submission of BPR Report	Score for the Financial Year 2022/2023	Remarks
		60%	35%	5%		
95.	Kenya Industrial Property Institute	0	0	0	0	Report not submitted
96.	Kenya Industrial Research and Development Institute	25	5	5	35	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Limited understanding of the BPR • Activity mapping not properly done • Evidence of implementation not adequate
97.	Kenya Institute for the Blind	4	0	5	9	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lack of understanding of BPR • Wrong process mapping
98.	Kenya Institute of Curriculum Development	17	10	5	32	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lack of understanding of BPR • Inaccurate As-Is process maps • Did not use right template for mapping • No evidence of implementation
99.	Kenya Institute of Mass Communication	8	0	5	13	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lack of understanding of BPR • Inaccurate process mapping • No evidence of implementation
100.	Kenya Institute of Public Policy Research and Analysis	60	35	5	100	Demonstrated understanding and implementation of the BPR process
101.	Kenya Institute of Special Education	45	0	5	50	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Not full understanding of BPR • Lack of evidence on implementation

S/No	ORGANIZATION	Processes Re-Engineered	Implement-ation Report	Submission of BPR Report	Score for the Financial Year 2022/2023	Remarks
		60%	35%	5%		
102.	Kenya Investment Authority	20	0	5	25	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Lack of understanding of the BPR process No evidence of implementation
103.	Kenya Law Reform Commission	60	10	5	75	Lack of clarity on implementation
104.	Kenya Leather Development Council	0	0	0	0	Report not submitted
105.	Kenya Literature Bureau	20	0	5	25	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The mapping not correctly done No demonstration of improvement No evidence of implementation
106.	Kenya Marine & Fisheries Research Institute	0	0	0	0	Report not submitted
107.	Kenya Maritime Authority	0	0	0	0	Report not submitted
108.	Kenya Medical Practitioners and Dentists Council	0	0	0	0	Report not submitted
109.	Kenya Medical Research Institute	3	0	5	8	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Lack of understanding of BPR No evidence of implementation
110.	Kenya Medical Supplies Authority	60	0	5	65	Implementation of the reengineered processes yet to be done
111.	Kenya Medical Training College	60	10	5	75	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Evidence of implementation not adequate

S/No	ORGANIZATION	Processes Re-Engineered	Implement-ation Report	Submission of BPR Report	Score for the Financial Year 2022/2023	Remarks
		60%	35%	5%		
112.	Kenya National Accreditation Service	25	0	5	30	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mapping is not correctly done • No evidence of implementation
113.	Kenya National Bureau of Statistics	30	0	5	35	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No mapping on the To- be process • No evidence of implementation
114.	Kenya National Commission for UNESCO	2	0	5	7	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lack of understanding of BPR • No evidence of implementation
115.	Kenya National Examinations Council	0	0	0	0	Report not submitted
116.	Kenya National Highways Authority	25	0	5	30	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Limited understanding of BPR • No evidence of implementation
117.	Kenya National Innovation Agency	0	0	0	0	Report not submitted
118.	Kenya National Library Services	60	35	5	100	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Demonstrated understanding and implementation of BPR
119.	Kenya National Qualifications Authority (KNQA)	15	5	5	25	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lack of understanding of the BPR • There is no proper mapping of identified services • Evidence of implementation not clear
120.	Kenya National Shipping Line	60	10	5	75	Implementation of the re-engineered processes yet to be done
121.	Kenya National Trading Corporation	0	0	0	0	Report not submitted

S/No	ORGANIZATION	Processes Re-Engineered	Implementation Report	Submission of BPR Report	Score for the Financial Year 2022/2023	Remarks
		60%	35%	5%		
122.	Kenya Ordinance Factories Corporation	0	0	0	0	Report not submitted
123.	Kenya Pipeline Company Ltd	5	0	5	10	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lack of understanding of BPR • No BPR process maps • Wrong reporting template
124.	Kenya Plant Health Inspectorate Service	37	0	5	42	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mapping of the activity process not properly done • No evidence of implementation
125.	Kenya Ports Authority	0	0	0	0	Report not submitted
126.	Kenya Post Office Savings Bank	0	0	0	0	Report not submitted
127.	Kenya Power and Lighting Company Ltd	0	0	0	0	Report not submitted
128.	Kenya Railways Corporation	0	0	0	0	Report not submitted
129.	Kenya Revenue Authority	60	35	5	100	Demonstrated understanding and implementation of BPR
130.	Kenya Roads Board	20	10	5	35	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Limited understanding of BPR • Mapping of the activity process not properly done • Evidence of implementation not satisfactory
131.	Kenya Rural Roads Authority	0	0	0	0	Report not submitted

S/No	ORGANIZATION	Processes Re-Engineered	Implement-ation Report	Submission of BPR Report	Score for the Financial Year 2022/2023	Remarks
		60%	35%	5%		
132.	Kenya School of Government	27	10	5	42	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Identified only one process Incomplete process maps Evidence of implementation not satisfactory
133.	Kenya School of Law	6	0	5	11	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Lack of understanding of BPR No clear identification, mapping and implementation of process Poor reporting
134.	Kenya Seed Company	30	5	5	40	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Lack of understanding of the BPR process No evidence of implementation
135.	Kenya Tourism Board	60	35	5	100	Demonstrated understanding and implementation of BPR
136.	Kenya Trade Network Agency	52	0	5	57	Implementation of the reengineered processes yet to be done
137.	Kenya Trade Remedies Agency	0	0	0	0	Report not submitted
138.	Kenya Tsetse and Trypanosomiasis Eradication Council	0	0	0	0	Report not submitted
139.	Kenya Universities and Colleges Central Placement Service	0	0	0	0	Report not submitted

S/No	ORGANIZATION	Processes Re-Engineered	Implementation Report	Submission of BPR Report	Score for the Financial Year 2022/2023	Remarks
		60%	35%	5%		
140.	Kenya Urban Roads Authority	60	35	5	100	Demonstrated understanding and implementation of BPR
141.	Kenya Utalii College	25	0	5	30	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lack of understanding of BPR • Inaccurate As-Is mapping of the process • No mapping on the to be process • No evidence on implementation
142.	Kenya Veterinary Board	0	0	0	0	Report not submitted
143.	Kenya Veterinary Vaccines Production Institute	0	0	0	0	Report not submitted
144.	Kenya Water Institute	23	0	3	26	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lack of understanding of BPR • No clear identification, mapping and implementation of process • Poor reporting
145.	Kenya Water Towers Agency	0	0	0	0	Report not submitted
146.	Kenya Wildlife Service	60	10	5	75	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lack of clarity on Implementation
147.	Kenya Yearbook Editorial Board	14	0	5	19	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lack of understanding of BPR

S/No	ORGANIZATION	Processes Re-Engineered	Implement-ation Report	Submission of BPR Report	Score for the Financial Year 2022/2023	Remarks
		60%	35%	5%		
						<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Inaccurate As-Is process mapping • Lack of evidence on implementation
148.	Kenyatta International Convention Center	60	35	5	100	Demonstrated understanding and implementation of BPR
149.	Kenyatta National Hospital	30	5	5	40	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Inaccurate AS-IS mapping of the process • No mapping of the to- be process
150.	Kenyatta University	60	10	5	75	Lack of clarity on Implementation
151.	Kenyatta University Teaching, Referral and Research Hospital (KUTRRH)	15	0	5	20	Lack of understanding of BPR
152.	Kerio Valley Development Authority	30	0	5	35	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Limited understanding of BPR • Inaccurate AS-IS mapping of the process • No mapping on the to- be process • No evidence of implementation
153.	Kibabii University	8	10	2	20	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lack of understanding of BPR • No clear identification, mapping and implementation of process

S/No	ORGANIZATION	Processes Re-Engineered	Implement-ation Report	Submission of BPR Report	Score for the Financial Year 2022/2023	Remarks
		60%	35%	5%		
						<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Report not in the prescribed format
154.	Kirinyaga University	20	0	5	25	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Only one process re-engineered Wrong process mapping
155.	Kisii University	60	0	5	65	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No evidence of implementation of re-engineered processes
156.	Koitalel Samoei University College	60	10	5	75	Lack of clarity on implementation
157.	KONZA Technopolis Development Authority	60	35	5	100	Demonstrated understanding and implementation of BPR
158.	Laikipia University	45	15	5	65	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Limited understanding of BPR No clarity on To-Be mapping Lack of clarity on the implementation process
159.	Lake Basin Development Authority	0	0	0	0	Report not submitted
160.	Lake Victoria North Water Works Development Agency	35	10	5	50	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Lack of clarity on implementation.
161.	Lake Victoria South Water Works Development Agency	5	0	5	10	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Lack of understanding of the BPR Process No evidence of implementation

S/No	ORGANIZATION	Processes Re-Engineered	Implement-ation Report	Submission of BPR Report	Score for the Financial Year 2022/2023	Remarks
		60%	35%	5%		
						<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No demonstration of improvement
162.	LAPSSET Corridor Development Authority	0	0	0	0	Report not submitted
163.	Machakos University	30	10	15	55	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Processes not properly mapped Limited evidence of implementation
164.	Mama Ngina University College	25	0	5	30	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Limited understanding of BPR Mapping has not been done correctly No evidence of implementation
165.	Maasai Mara University	25	10	5	40	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> BPR mapping is not correctly done Implementation evidence is not adequate
166.	Maseno University	60	35	5	100	Demonstrated understanding and implementation of BPR
167.	Masinde Muliro University of Science & Technology	60	10	5	75	Implementation evidence is not adequate
168.	Media Council of Kenya	5	0	5	10	Lack of understanding of BPR

S/No	ORGANIZATION	Processes Re-Engineered	Implement-ation Report	Submission of BPR Report	Score for the Financial Year 2022/2023	Remarks
		60%	35%	5%		
169.	Meru University of Science and Technology	5	0	5	10	Lack of understanding of BPR
170.	Micro and Small Enterprises Authority	0	0	0	0	Report not submitted
171.	Moi Teaching and Referral Hospital	0	0	0	0	Report not submitted
172.	Moi University	30	10	5	45	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lack of clear understanding of the BPR process • Evidence of implementation not adequate
173.	Multi-Media University	13	2	3	18	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lack of understanding of BPR • No clear implementation • Lack of demonstration of improvement
174.	Murang'a University of Technology	36	0	5	41	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Process maps not comprehensive • No evidence of implementation
175.	Nairobi Centre for International Arbitration	0	0	0	0	Report not submitted
176.	National Aids Control Council (National Syndemic Diseases Control Council)	30	10	5	45	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lack of understanding of BPR • Evidence of implementation not clear
177.	National Authority for the Campaign Against	60	10	5	75	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Evidence of implementation not clear

S/No	ORGANIZATION	Processes Re-Engineered	Implement-ation Report	Submission of BPR Report	Score for the Financial Year 2022/2023	Remarks
		60%	35%	5%		
	Alcohol and Drug Abuse					
178.	National Biosafety Authority	10	0	5	15	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lack of understanding of the BPR • No evidence of implementation
179.	National Cancer Institute	35	0	5	40	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Limited understand of BPR • No evidence of implementation
180.	National Cereals and Produce Board	0	0	0	0	Report not submitted
181.	National Commission for Science, Technology and Innovation	20	5	5	30	Lack of understanding of the BPR
182.	National Construction Authority	0	0	0	0	Report not submitted
183.	National Council for Children’s Services	0	0	0	0	Report not submitted
184.	National Council for Law Reporting	22	10	4	36	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mapping process not demonstrated
185.	National Council for Nomadic Education in Kenya	40	0	5	45	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Process maps not comprehensive • Incomplete timeline on To-Be Process maps • No evidence of implementation

S/No	ORGANIZATION	Processes Re-Engineered	Implement-ation Report	Submission of BPR Report	Score for the Financial Year 2022/2023	Remarks
		60%	35%	5%		
186.	National Council for Persons With Disabilities	35	10	5	50	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mapping of the activity process not properly done • Evidence of implementation not adequate
187.	National Council for Population and Development	0	0	0	0	Report not submitted
188.	National Crime Research Centre	0	0	0	0	Report not submitted
189.	National Drought Management Authority	17	0	5	22	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lack of understanding of BPR • No evidence of Implementation
190.	National Employment Authority	0	0	0	0	Report not submitted
191.	National Environment Trust Fund	60	10	5	75	Evidence of implementation not adequate
192.	National Environmental Management Authority	40	10	5	55	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The understanding of BPR not explicit • Evidence of implementation not adequate
193.	National Government Affirmative Action Fund	1	0	2	3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lack of understanding of BPR • No identification, mapping and implementation of BPR process

S/No	ORGANIZATION	Processes Re-Engineered	Implement-ation Report	Submission of BPR Report	Score for the Financial Year 2022/2023	Remarks
		60%	35%	5%		
						<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Report not in the prescribed format • No reengineering done
194.	National Government Constituency Development Fund	0	0	0	0	Report not submitted
195.	National Hospital Insurance Fund	60	35	5	100	Demonstrated understanding and implementation of BPR
196.	National Housing Corporation	60	10	5	75	Inadequate evidence of implementation
197.	National Industrial Training Authority	0	0	0	0	Report not submitted
198.	National Irrigation Authority	60	35	5	100	Demonstrated understanding and implementation of BPR
199.	National Museums of Kenya	12	0	5	17	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lack of understanding of BPR • Inaccurate process maps • No evidence of Implementation
200.	National Oil Corporation of Kenya	60	10	5	75	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Inadequate evidence of implementation
201.	National Research Fund	5	10	2	17	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lack of understanding of BPR • No clear identification, mapping and implementation of processes • Inadequate evidence of implementation

S/No	ORGANIZATION	Processes Re-Engineered	Implement-ation Report	Submission of BPR Report	Score for the Financial Year 2022/2023	Remarks
		60%	35%	5%		
202.	National Social Security Fund	25	10	5	35	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Limited understanding of BPR • Inadequate evidence of implementation
203.	National Transport and Safety Authority	0	0	0	0	Report not submitted
204.	National Water Harvesting & Storage Authority	60	35	5	100	Demonstrated understanding and implementation of BPR
205.	National Youth Council	0	0	0	0	Report not submitted
206.	National Youth Service	0	0	0	0	Report not submitted
207.	New Kenya Co-operative Creameries Limited	35	20	5	60	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Limited understanding of BPR • Implementation not fully done
208.	New Kenya Planters Corporative Union	0	0	0	0	Report not submitted
209.	Non-Governmental Organizations Co-ordination Board	45	20	5	70	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lack of clarity on mapping of As-is and To-Be processes. • Implementation not fully done
210.	North Rift Valley Water Works Development Agency	30	10	5	45	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mapping not comprehensive • Lack of clarity of the implementation process

S/No	ORGANIZATION	Processes Re-Engineered	Implement-ation Report	Submission of BPR Report	Score for the Financial Year 2022/2023	Remarks
		60%	35%	5%		
211.	Northern Water Works Development Agency	20	0	5	25	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lack of BPR understanding • No evidence of Implementation
212.	Nuclear Power and Energy Agency	60	10	5	75	Inadequate evidence of implementation
213.	Numerical Machining Complex	0	0	0	0	Report not submitted
214.	Nursing Council of Kenya	2	0	1	3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lack of understanding of BPR • No clear identification, mapping and implementation of process • Report not in the prescribed format • No evidence of implementation
215.	Nyayo Tea Zones Development Corporation	5	0	5	10	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lack of understanding of BPR • No proper identification of services • No evidence of Implementation
216.	Nzoia Sugar Company	0	0	0	0	Report not submitted
217.	Pest Control Products Board	60	30	5	95	Implementation not fully done
218.	Policy holders Compensation Fund	35	0	5	40	Mapping not well done No evidence of implementation
219.	Postal Corporation of Kenya	0	0	0	0	Report not submitted

S/No	ORGANIZATION	Processes Re-Engineered	Implement-ation Report	Submission of BPR Report	Score for the Financial Year 2022/2023	Remarks
		60%	35%	5%		
220.	Private Security Regulatory Authority	0	0	0	0	Report not submitted
221.	Privatization Commission of Kenya	45	20	5	70	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mapping done but improvement not demonstrated • Implementation partly done
222.	Public Procurement Regulatory Authority	50	10	5	65	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mapping done but improvement is needed • Evidence of implementation not fully demonstrated
223.	Pwani University	25	0	5	30	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lack of understanding of BPR • Inaccurate process maps • Process are over summarized
224.	Pyrethrum Processing Company of Kenya	0	0	0	0	Report not submitted
225.	Regional Centre on Groundwater Resources Education Training and Research in Eastern Africa	60	10	5	75	Evidence of implementation not adequate
226.	Retirement Benefits Authority	0	0	0	0	Report not submitted
227.	Rongo University	23	0	5	28	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lack of understanding of BPR • Inaccurate process maps • No evidence of implementation

S/No	ORGANIZATION	Processes Re-Engineered	Implement-ation Report	Submission of BPR Report	Score for the Financial Year 2022/2023	Remarks
		60%	35%	5%		
228.	Rural Electrification and Renewable Energy Corporation	0	0	0	0	Report not submitted
229.	Sacco Societies Regulatory Authority	0	0	0	0	Report not submitted
230.	School Equipment Production Unit	0	0	0	0	Report not submitted
231.	Scrape Metal Council	0	0	0	0	Report not submitted
232.	South Eastern Kenya University	16	0	5	21	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lack of understanding of BPR • Inaccurate process maps • No Evidence of implementation
233.	South Nyanza Sugar Company	30	0	5	35	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mapping not properly done • No evidence of implementation
234.	Special Economic Zone Authority	25	0	5	30	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lack of understanding of BPR • No evidence of implementation
235.	Sports, Arts and Social Development Fund	0	0	0	0	Report not submitted
236.	Taita Taveta University	0	0	0	0	Wrong report submitted
237.	Tana and Athi Rivers Development Authority	7	0	5	12	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lack of understanding of the BPR process • No evidence of implementation

S/No	ORGANIZATION	Processes Re-Engineered	Implementation Report	Submission of BPR Report	Score for the Financial Year 2022/2023	Remarks
		60%	35%	5%		
238.	Tana Water Works Development Agency	60	20	5	85	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Implementation of re-engineered processes commenced
239.	Tanathi Water Works Development Agency	0	0	0	0	Report not submitted
240.	Tea Board of Kenya	30	35	5	70	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Only one process identified as opposed to two. Implementation of the process well done
241.	Technical and Vocational Education and Training Authority	30	10	5	45	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Process maps not detailed Implementation evidence not adequate
242.	Technical University of Kenya	0	0	0	0	Report not submitted
243.	Technical University of Mombasa	0	0	0	0	Report not submitted
244.	Tharaka University College	3	0	5	8	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Lack of understanding of BPR Mapping is not done correctly No evidence of implementation
245.	The Kenya Space Agency	30	10	5	50	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Lack of clear understanding of the BPR To-Be Processes not well defined Inadequate demonstration of implementation

S/No	ORGANIZATION	Processes Re-Engineered	Implement-ation Report	Submission of BPR Report	Score for the Financial Year 2022/2023	Remarks
		60%	35%	5%		
246.	The Universities Fund	0	0	0	0	Report not submitted
247.	Tom Mboya University College	60	10	5	75	Inadequate demonstration of implementation
248.	Tourism Fund	30	0	5	35	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Understanding of BPR not well demonstrated • No evidence of implementation
249.	Tourism Regulatory Authority	60	10	5	75	Evidence of implementation not adequate
250.	Unclaimed Financial Assets Authority	0	0	5	5	Submitted wrong report
251.	University of Eldoret	0	0	0	0	Report not submitted
252.	University of Embu	15	0	5	20	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lack of understanding of BPR • No evidence of implementation
253.	University of Kabianga	60	35	5	100	Demonstrated understanding and implementation of BPR
254.	University of Nairobi	60	35	5	100	Demonstrated understanding and implementation of BPR
255.	University of Nairobi Enterprise Services Limited	60	0	5	65	No evidence of implementation
256.	Veterinary Medicines Directorate	0	0	0	0	Report not submitted
257.	Vision 2030 Delivery Board	0	0	0	0	Report not submitted

S/No	ORGANIZATION	Processes Re-Engineered	Implement-ation Report	Submission of BPR Report	Score for the Financial Year 2022/2023	Remarks
		60%	35%	5%		
258.	Warehouse Receipts System Council	18	0	5	23	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Lack of understanding of BPR No evidence of implementation
259.	Water Resources Authority	60	35	5	100	Demonstrated understanding and implementation of BPR
260.	Water Sector Trust Fund	30	10	5	45	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Poor mapping of the processes Evidence of implementation not adequate
261.	Water Services Regulatory Board	25	10	5	40	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Poor mapping of the processes Evidence of implementation not adequate
262.	Witness Protection Agency	0	0	0	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No report submitted but an email
263.	Women Enterprise Fund	30	0	5	35	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Only one service identified for re-engineering No evidence of implementation
264.	Youth Enterprise Development Fund	0	0	0	0	Report not submitted
TERTIARY INSTITUTION						
265.	Ahmed Shahame Mwindani TTI	2	0	5	7	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Lack of understanding of BPR No BPR process maps No evidence of implementation
266.	Aldai Technical Training Institute	7	0	5	12	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Lack of understanding of BPR No evidence of implementation

S/No	ORGANIZATION	Processes Re-Engineered	Implement-ation Report	Submission of BPR Report	Score for the Financial Year 2022/2023	Remarks
		60%	35%	5%		
267.	Awendo Technical and Vocational College (SIRUTI)	5	5	5	15	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lack of understanding of BPR • No clear identification, mapping and implementation of processes • Report not in the prescribed format
268.	Baringo South Technical Training Institute, (Mochongoi)	20	0	5	30	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lack of understanding of BPR • No evidence of implementation
269.	Baringo Technical College	20	0	5	5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lack of understanding of BPR • No evidence of implementation
270.	Belgut Technical and Vocational College	10	0	5	15	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lack of understanding of BPR • No evidence of implementation
271.	Bomet University College	10	0	5	15	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lack of understanding of BPR • No process maps • No evidence of implementation
272.	Bondo Technical Training Institute	5	5	2	12	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lack of understanding of BPR • No clear identification, mapping and implementation of process • Report not in the prescribed format • No evidence of re-engineering

S/No	ORGANIZATION	Processes Re-Engineered	Implement-ation Report	Submission of BPR Report	Score for the Financial Year 2022/2023	Remarks
		60%	35%	5%		
273.	Borabu Technical and Vocational College	0	0	0	0	Report not submitted
274.	Bumbe Technical Training Institute	60	30	5	95	Demonstrated understanding and implementation of BPR
275.	Bungoma North TVC	20	0	5	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lack of understanding of BPR • No evidence of implementation
276.	Bunyala Technical and Vocational College	0	0	0	0	Report not submitted
277.	Bureti Technical Training Institute	15	0	5	20	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lack of understanding of BPR • Wrong process mapping • No evidence of implementation
278.	Bushiangala Technical Training Institute	12	0	5	17	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lack of understanding of BPR • No evidence of implementation
279.	Butere Technical and Vocational College	6	0	5	11	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lack of understanding of BPR • Inaccurate process maps • No evidence of implementation
280.	Chamasiri Technical and Vocational College	0	0	0	0	Report not submitted
281.	Chuka Technical and Vocation Training	4	0	3	7	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lack of understanding of BPR • Report not as per prescribed template • No evidence of implementation
282.	Coast Institute of Technology	60	10	5	75	Evidence of implementation not adequate

S/No	ORGANIZATION	Processes Re-Engineered	Implement-ation Report	Submission of BPR Report	Score for the Financial Year 2022/2023	Remarks
		60%	35%	5%		
283.	David M. Wambuli Technical and Vocational College	25	10	5	40	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Inaccurate process mapping No demonstration of improvement Evidence of implementation not adequate
284.	Dr. Daniel Wako Murende TVC	0	0	0	0	Report not submitted
285.	Ebukanga Technical and Vocational College	20	0	5	25	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Lack of understanding of BPR Report not as per prescribed template No evidence of implementation
286.	Ekerubo Gietai Technical Training Institute	0	0	0	0	Report not submitted
287.	Eldama Ravine TVC	0	0	0	0	Report not submitted
288.	Eldoret National Polytechnic	2	0	5	7	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Lack of understanding of BPR No evidence of implementation
289.	Emining Technical Training Institute	0	0	0	0	Report not submitted
290.	Emsos Technical Training Institute	0	0	0	0	Report not submitted
291.	Emurua Dikirr Technical Vocational College	3	0	5	8	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Lack of understanding of BPR Inaccurate process maps No evidence of implementation

S/No	ORGANIZATION	Processes Re-Engineered	Implement-ation Report	Submission of BPR Report	Score for the Financial Year 2022/2023	Remarks
		60%	35%	5%		
292.	Endebess Technical Training Institute	5	1	5	11	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lack of understanding of BPR • No clear identification, mapping and implementation of process • Report not in the prescribed format • No distinct timelines given
293.	Friends College Kaimosi	0	0	0	0	Report not submitted
294.	Gatanga Technical and Vocational College	0	0	0	0	Report not submitted
295.	Gatundu South TVC	60	10	5	75	Evidence of implementation not adequate
296.	Gitwebe Technical Training Institute	0	0	0	0	Report not submitted
297.	Godoma Technical Training Institute	0	0	0	0	Report not submitted
298.	Ijara Technical And Vocational College	0	0	0	0	Report not submitted
299.	Ikutha Technical And Vocational College	17	2	5	22	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lack of understanding of the BPR • As-is and to-be maps are not related

S/No	ORGANIZATION	Processes Re-Engineered	Implement-ation Report	Submission of BPR Report	Score for the Financial Year 2022/2023	Remarks
		60%	35%	5%		
						<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Evidence of implementation not adequate
300.	Jeremiah Nyagah Technical Institute	0	0	0	0	Report not submitted
301.	Kagumo Teachers Training College	11	0	5	16	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Lack of understanding of the BPR No evidence of implementation
302.	Kaiboi Technical Training Institute	0	0	0	0	Report not submitted
303.	Kajiado West Technical and Vocational College	0	0	0	0	Report not submitted
304.	Kakrao Technical And Vocational College	32	15	5	52	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Lack of understanding of BPR Limited evidence of implementation
305.	Kaloleni Technical And Vocational College	0	0	5	5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Lack of understanding of BPR No identification, mapping and implementation of process Report not in the prescribed format
306.	Kapcherop Technical and Vocational College	0	0	0	0	Report not submitted
307.	Karatina University	5	0	5	10	Lack of understanding of the BPR process

S/No	ORGANIZATION	Processes Re-Engineered	Implement-ation Report	Submission of BPR Report	Score for the Financial Year 2022/2023	Remarks
		60%	35%	5%		
308.	Karen Technical Training Institute for the Deaf	2	2	1	5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lack of understanding of BPR • No clear identification, mapping and implementation of processes • Report not in the prescribed format • No evidence of Re-engineering
309.	Karumo TTI	0	0	0	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Report not submitted
310.	Kasarani TVC	60	30	5	95	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Demonstrated understanding of BPR • Improvement needed on implementation
311.	Katine Technical Training Institute	20	10	5	35	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Limited understanding of BPR • Inadequate evidence of implementation
312.	Kendege Technical And Vocational College	0	0	5	5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lack of understanding of BPR • Wrong report • No evidence of having undertaken BPR
313.	Kenya Technical Trainers College	15	0	5	20	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Poor demonstration of understanding of BPR • As-is and To-Be process are not properly separated • No evidence of implementation

S/No	ORGANIZATION	Processes Re-Engineered	Implement-ation Report	Submission of BPR Report	Score for the Financial Year 2022/2023	Remarks
		60%	35%	5%		
314.	Kericho Township Technical And Vocational College	0	0	0	0	Report not submitted
315.	Keroka Technical Training Institute	3	0	5	8	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lack of understanding of BPR • Inaccurate process maps • No evidence of implementation
316.	Kiambu Institute of Science and Technology	23	0	5	28	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lack of understanding of BPR • Inaccurate AS-IS process maps • No evidence of implementation
317.	Kibwezi Technical and Vocational College	0	0	0	0	Report not submitted
318.	Kiirua Technical Training Institute	0	0	0	0	Report not submitted
319.	Kimasian Technical and Vocational College	1	0	5	6	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lack of understanding of BPR • Inaccurate process maps • No evidence of implementation
320.	Kiminini Technical and Vocational College	55	0	5	62	No evidence of implementation
321.	Kipipiri Technical and Vocational College	0	0	0	0	Report not submitted
322.	Kipkabus Technical Vocational Training College	20	0	5	25	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mapping wrongly done • No Evidence of implementation

S/No	ORGANIZATION	Processes Re-Engineered	Implementation Report	Submission of BPR Report	Score for the Financial Year 2022/2023	Remarks
		60%	35%	5%		
						<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No demonstration of improvement
323.	Kipsinende TTI	0	0	0	0	Report not submitted
324.	Kipsoen Technical And Vocational College	0	0	0	0	Report not submitted
325.	Kiptaragon Technical And Vocational College	0	0	0	0	Report not submitted
326.	Kisii National Polytechnic	60	10	5	75	Lack of clarity on implementation
327.	Kisiwa Technical Training Institute	0	0	0	0	Report not submitted
328.	Kitutu Masaba Technical And Vocational College	0	0	0	0	Report not submitted
329.	Kongoni Technical and Vocational College	0	0	5	5	Wrong report sent
330.	Konoin TTI	0	0	0	0	Report not submitted
331.	Koshin TTI	25	10	5	40	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Lack of proper understand of BPR Implementation not fully done

S/No	ORGANIZATION	Processes Re-Engineered	Implementation Report	Submission of BPR Report	Score for the Financial Year 2022/2023	Remarks
		60%	35%	5%		
332.	Laikipia East Technical and vocational College	35	0	5	40	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Lack of understanding of BPR No evidence of implementation
333.	Laikipia North Technical and vocational College	30	0	5	40	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Inaccurate process maps No evidence of implementation
334.	Laisamis Technical and vocational College	20	0	5	35	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Limited understanding of BPR No evidence of implementation
335.	Lari Technical and Vocational College	0	0	0	0	Report not submitted
336.	Limuru Technical and Vocational College	0	0	0	0	Report not submitted
337.	Lodwar Technical and Vocational College	0	0	0	0	Report not submitted
338.	Lugari Diploma TTC	20	0	5	20	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Limited understanding of BPR No evidence of implementation
339.	Lungalunga Technical And Vocational College	0	0	0	0	Report not submitted
340.	Mabera Technical and Vocational College	5	0	5	10	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Lack of understanding of BPR Wrong report

S/No	ORGANIZATION	Processes Re-Engineered	Implement-ation Report	Submission of BPR Report	Score for the Financial Year 2022/2023	Remarks
		60%	35%	5%		
341.	Machakos Technical Institute for the Blind	60	0	5	5	No evidence of implementation
342.	Masai Technical Training Institute	25	10	5	40	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Report incomplete • No evidence of To-Be Process • Evidence of implementation not satisfactory
343.	Maasai Mara Technical and Vocational College	0	0	0	0	Report not submitted
344.	Masinga TVC	10	2	5	17	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lack of understanding of the BPR • Mapping is not correct • Poor demonstration of implementation
345.	Mathenge Technical Training Institute	28	15	5	48	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Identified only one service • Process maps not comprehensive
346.	Mathioya Technical And Vocational College	20	0	5	25	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lack of understanding of the BPR process • No evidence of implementation • No demonstration of Improvement
347.	Mathira TVC	10	0	5	15	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lack of understanding of the BPR process • No evidence of implementation

S/No	ORGANIZATION	Processes Re-Engineered	Implementation Report	Submission of BPR Report	Score for the Financial Year 2022/2023	Remarks
		60%	35%	5%		
						<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No demonstration of Improvement
348.	Matili Technical Training Institute	25	0	5	25	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Lack of understanding of BPR Process maps not comprehensive No evidence of implementation
349.	Mawego Technical Training Institute	0	0	0	0	Wrong report sent
350.	Meru National Polytechnic	12	0	5	17	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Lack of understanding of BPR No evidence of implementation
351.	Michuki Technical Training Institute	12	0	5	17	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Lack of understanding of the BPR Mapping has not been fully done No evidence of implementation
352.	Mitunguu Technical Training Institute	30	0	5	35	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Lack of understanding of the BPR Mapping has not been fully done No evidence of implementation
353.	Moiben TVC	0	0	0	0	Report not submitted
354.	Msambweni Technical And Vocational College	0	0	0	0	Report not submitted

S/No	ORGANIZATION	Processes Re-Engineered	Implement-ation Report	Submission of BPR Report	Score for the Financial Year 2022/2023	Remarks
		60%	35%	5%		
355.	Mukiria Technical Training Institute	35	0	5	40	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Limited understanding of BPR • Lack of clear mapping process • No evidence of implementation
356.	Mukurwe-ini Technical Training Institute	27	10	5	42	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Limited understanding of BPR • Mapping has not been done properly • Evidence of implementation is not adequate
357.	Mumias West TVC	60	0	5	65	No evidence of implementation
358.	Mungatsi Technical And Vocational College	0	0	0	0	Report not submitted
359.	Muraga TTI	15	0	5	20	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Limited understanding of BPR • Only one process mapped • No evidence of implementation
360.	Musakasa Technical Training Institute	0	0	0	0	Report not submitted
361.	Mwala Technical and Vocational College	0	0	0	0	Report not submitted
362.	Nachu TVC	20	10	5	35	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Limited understanding of BPR • Incorrect process mapping • Limited evidence of implementation
363.	Nairobi Technical Training Institute	28	35	5	68	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Limited understanding of BPR • Processes not properly mapped

S/No	ORGANIZATION	Processes Re-Engineered	Implementation Report	Submission of BPR Report	Score for the Financial Year 2022/2023	Remarks
		60%	35%	5%		
364.	Naivasha TVC	18	0	5	23	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Lack of understanding of BPR Only one process identified No evidence of implementation
365.	Narok West TTI	0	0	0	0	Report not submitted
366.	Navakholo Technical and Vocational College	0	0	5	0	Document submitted was not a BPR report as required by the PC Guidelines
367.	Ndia TVC	14	0	5	19	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Lack of understanding of BPR Inaccurate process maps No evidence of implementation
368.	Nkabune Technical Training Institute	9	0	5	14	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Lack of understanding of BPR Uncomprehensive and inaccurate process maps No evidence of implementation
369.	North Eastern National Polytechnic	12	0	5	17	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Lack of understanding of BPR Inaccurate process maps No evidence of implementation
370.	North Hor Technical and Vocational College	0	0	0	0	Report not submitted
371.	Nuu TVC	30	10	5	45	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Only one process mapped Lack of clarity in implementation
372.	Nyakach TVC	0	0	0	0	Report not submitted

S/No	ORGANIZATION	Processes Re-Engineered	Implement-ation Report	Submission of BPR Report	Score for the Financial Year 2022/2023	Remarks
		60%	35%	5%		
373.	Nyandarua Institute of Science and Technology	0	0	0	0	Report not submitted
374.	Okame TVC	15	0	5	25	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lack of understanding of BPR • No evidence of implementation
375.	Ol-lessos Technical Training Institute	6	0	5	11	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lack of understanding of BPR • No process maps • Wrong report
376.	Omuga Technical and Vocational College	0	0	0	0	Report not submitted
377.	Paramount Chief Kinyanjui Technical Training Institute	20	0	5	25	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Limited understanding of BPR • Report incomplete & not in prescribed format • No evidence of Re-engineering
378.	Rachuonyo (OMBEK) Technical and Vocational College	0	0	0	0	Report not submitted
379.	Ramogi Institute of Advanced Technology	0	0	0	0	Report not submitted
380.	Rangwe Technical and Vocational College	20	0	5	25	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lack of understanding of BPR • No evidence of implementation
381.	Riatrimba Technical and Vocational College	9	0	5	14	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lack of understanding of BPR • Inaccurate process maps • No evidence of implementation

S/No	ORGANIZATION	Processes Re-Engineered	Implement-ation Report	Submission of BPR Report	Score for the Financial Year 2022/2023	Remarks
		60%	35%	5%		
382.	Rift Valley Institute of Science & Technology	10	10	5	25	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lack of understanding of BPR • No clear identification, mapping and implementation of processes
383.	Rift Valley Technical Training Institute	45	10	5	60	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Limited understanding of BPR • Evidence of implementation not adequate
384.	Riragia Technical And Vocational College	0	0	0	0	Report not submitted
385.	Runyenjes Technical And Vocational College	6	0	2	8	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lack of understanding of BPR • No clear identification, mapping and implementation of processes • Wrong report
386.	Sabatia Technical and Vocational College	20	0	5	25	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lack of understanding of BPR • Evidence of implementation not adequate
387.	Samburu East Technical and Vocational College	0	0	0	0	Report not submitted
388.	Sang'alo Institute of Science and Technology	10	0	5	15	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Limited understanding of BPR • As-Is and To-Be mapping not correctly done
389.	Seme Technical and Vocational College – (AWACH)	7	0	5	12	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lack understanding of BPR process • No evidence of implementation

S/No	ORGANIZATION	Processes Re-Engineered	Implement-ation Report	Submission of BPR Report	Score for the Financial Year 2022/2023	Remarks
		60%	35%	5%		
						<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No demonstration of improvement
390.	Shamberere Technical Training Institute	7	0	5	12	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lack of understanding of BPR • Inaccurate process maps • No evidence of implementation
391.	Siala Technical Training Institute	8	0	5	13	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lack of understanding of BPR • Inaccurate process maps • No evidence of implementation
392.	Siaya Institute of Technology	18	10	5	33	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Only one process identified • No evidence of improvement • Evidence of implementation not adequate
393.	Sigalagala National Polytechnic	4	0	1	5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lack of understanding of BPR • No clear identification, mapping and implementation of processes • Poor reporting • No evidence of implementation
394.	Sikri Vocational Training Centre for the Blind and Deaf	35	0	5	40	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lack of understanding of BPR • Lack of clear mapping process • Re-engineered processes not implemented • No evidence of implementation

S/No	ORGANIZATION	Processes Re-Engineered	Implement-ation Report	Submission of BPR Report	Score for the Financial Year 2022/2023	Remarks
		60%	35%	5%		
395.	Sirisia Technical And Vocational College	9	0	2	11	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lack of understanding of BPR • No clear identification, mapping and implementation of processes • Poor reporting • No evidence of implementation
396.	SOT Technical Training Institute	0	0	5	5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lack of understanding of BPR • No clear identification, mapping and implementation of processes • Poor reporting
397.	Sotik Technical Training Institute	12	10	5	27	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lack of understanding of BPR • Incorrect process mapping • Inadequate evidence of implementation
398.	St. Joseph's Technical Training Institute for the Deaf, Nyang'oma	10	0	5	15	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lack of understanding of BPR • Inaccurate process maps • No evidence of implementation
399.	St. Paul's Kibabii Diploma Teachers' Training College	20	10	5	35	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lack of understanding of BPR • No clear identification, mapping and implementation of processes • Report not in the prescribed format

S/No	ORGANIZATION	Processes Re-Engineered	Implementation Report	Submission of BPR Report	Score for the Financial Year 2022/2023	Remarks
		60%	35%	5%		
400.	Taveta Technical And Vocational College	0	0	0	0	Report not submitted
401.	Tetu Technical and Vocational College	0	0	0	0	Report not submitted
402.	Tharaka TVC	10	0	5	15	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lack of understanding of BPR • Inaccurate process mapping • No evidence of implementation
403.	The Kabete National Polytechnic	5	0	5	10	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lack of understanding of BPR
404.	The Kenya Coast National Polytechnic	20	10	5	35	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lack of understanding of BPR • Identification of EPR is not a process • No proper mapping of identified services • Evidence of implementation is not adequate
405.	The Kisumu National Polytechnic	15	10	5	30	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Limited understanding of BPR • Processes not fully mapped • Inadequate evidence of implementation
406.	The Kitale National Polytechnic	0	0	0	0	No report submitted.
407.	The Nyeri National Polytechnic	20	10	5	35	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Report incomplete • No evidence of To-Be Process • Inadequate evidence of Implementation

S/No	ORGANIZATION	Processes Re-Engineered	Implement-ation Report	Submission of BPR Report	Score for the Financial Year 2022/2023	Remarks
		60%	35%	5%		
408.	Thika Technical Training Institute	0	0	0	0	Report not submitted
409.	Tigania Technical and Vocational College	10	0	5	15	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lack of understanding of BPR • No evidence of implementation
410.	Tinderet Technical and Vocational College	0	0	0	0	Report not submitted
411.	Tseikuru TTI	22	0	5	27	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Limited understanding of BPR • No evidence of implementation
412.	Turkana University College	0	0	0	0	Report not submitted
413.	Ugenya TVC	0	0	0	0	Report not submitted
414.	Ugunja Technical and Vocational College	0	0	5	5	Lack of understand of the BPR
415.	Wanga Technical and Vocational College	13	0	5	18	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lack of understanding of the BPR • No evidence of implementation
416.	Webuye West Technical And Vocational College	10	0	5	15	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Limited understanding of BPR • No evidence of implementation
417.	Weru TVC	0	0	0	0	Report not submitted
418.	Wote Technical Training Institute	11	0	4	15	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lack of understanding of BPR

S/No	ORGANIZATION	Processes Re-Engineered	Implement-ation Report	Submission of BPR Report	Score for the Financial Year 2022/2023	Remarks
		60%	35%	5%		
						<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No clear identification, mapping and implementation of processes
419.	Ziwa Technical Training Institute	12	0	5	17	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lack of understanding of the BPR • Only one As-is process attempted, no To-Be • No evidence of implementation